

Respect Campaign Issue Discovery and Follow-Up Process

We continue the routine “discovery” process as part of the respect campaign procedure. When a game report is received that shows a score of 2 or below on any of the three reporting categories or contains referee comments that warrant respect campaign attention, the following process is initiated:

- 1) The league respect campaign coordinator will contact the club associated with the low score. This contact is done by telephone and/or email. Contact is made to club leadership – not the team coach. Contact is made with the club’s named respect campaign coordinator first (unless they are the coach in question) and then the club director of coaching or president. This approach is taken with the hope of alerting club leadership and fostering broader attention to the overall program.
- 2) The contact is not, in itself, a disciplinary matter. The club will be asked to investigate the matter and respond in writing to the league within a specified timeframe following these point:
 - a. Describe the inquiry steps taken with in your organization.
 - b. Describe the education steps taken (to remedy the issue or highlight the importance of avoiding issues).
 - c. Describe the plans within the club to ensure that issues of this nature do not occur in the future.

The process is not meant to be negative nor initiate defensive reactions. The level of potential subjectivity and opinion is understood. The process is intended to provide an opportunity for communication and to identify areas for improvement with teams, clubs (and the league). That being said, if a club elects to be nonresponsive or negative in its response to the discovery request, the matter may be escalated to a disciplinary action within the league.

In the end, assuming a complete response, the league will trust that the club will take the appropriate steps to strengthen its internal program. Similarly, feedback provided by the club will be share between the league and GVSOA so that those organization will also be strengthened.

03/18/2022		Grand Valley Soccer Assoc.				2:08 pm	
LMSPR1		Sportsmanship Report				Page 1	
Club #	Club Name	City	Games	Player Average	Coach Average	Spectator Average	
ALLIANCE	Alliance FC	Wyoming	19	4.5	4.4	4.1	
AYSO	AYSO United	Grand Rapids	190	4.6	4.5	4.6	
AYSO571	Rapids FC	Grand Rapids	82	4.8	4.7	4.7	
BIG RAPIDS	Northland United Soccer Club	Big Rapids	36	4.5	4.4	4.2	
CADILLAC	Cadillac SA	Cadillac	47	4.8	4.8	4.7	
CASL	Capitol Area Soccer League		1	5.0	5.0	5.0	
CATS	CATS FC	Caledonia	58	4.8	4.6	4.6	
CEDAR	CASSA	Cedar Springs	48	4.6	4.2	4.4	
FFC	Fenwick Football Club	Fenwick	11	4.7	4.7	4.7	
FRUITPORT	Fury FC	Fruitport	49	4.3	4.2	4.2	
GEORGETOWN	Michigan Fire Juniors	Hudsonville	91	4.6	4.5	4.4	
GRASA	Midwest United FC	Kentwood	165	4.7	4.7	4.6	
HASTINGS	Hastings FC	Hastings	14	4.9	4.9	4.9	
HROVERSFC	Holland Rovers FC	Holland	54	4.5	4.4	4.3	
LASSO	Lowell Area Select Soccer	Lowell	30	4.7	4.8	4.7	
LUDINGTON	Ludington Soccer Club	Ludington	50	4.7	4.5	4.6	
MI POWER	MI Power Futbol Academy	Grand Rapids	30	4.6	4.4	4.4	
MONA	West Michigan Storm	Muskegon	140	4.4	4.3	4.2	
OAKRIDGE	Oakridge Soccer Club	Muskegon	13	4.1	4.5	4.3	
PASS	PASS FC	Grand Rapids	48	4.7	4.7	4.7	
RASCALS	MFA Revolution	Grand Rapids	177	4.6	4.7	4.5	
REETHS	Reeths-Puffer YSC	Muskegon	48	4.3	4.3	4.3	
ROVERS	Michigan Rovers Athletic Club	Oak Harbor	20	4.5	4.5	4.3	
SCOR	SCOR Meulensteen Academy	Rockford	145	4.7	4.6	4.5	
TASC	Thornapple Area SC	Middleville	51	4.4	4.4	4.4	
TRI-CITIES	Lakeshore Soccer Club	Grand Haven	113	4.5	4.5	4.5	
USA	USA Soccer Club	Holland	152	4.5	4.3	4.3	
WHITE LAKE	White Lake Breakers	Rothbury	39	4.2	4.4	4.2	
WMYSA	West Michigan Youth Soccer		2	5.0	5.0	4.5	
REPORT TOTALS:			1923	4.6	4.5	4.5	
Year/Event: Fall 2021							
Clubs: All							
Teams: All							
Print Type: DETAIL							